

MEETING DATE	Wednesday, 11 December 2019
Panel references	PPSSCC-14— Cumberland — DA-171-2019 - 69 and 71 Pegler Ave, South Granville

In relation to these matters, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest
an actual \Box , potential \Box or reasonably perceived \Box conflict of interest as detailed below:
,
<u>.</u>
11/n/2*19 Signature Date
NED ATTE
Name

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday, 11 December 2019
Panel references	PPSSCC-14— Cumberland — DA-171-2019 - 69 and 71 Pegler Ave, South Granville

In relation to these matters, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest
an actual \Box , potential \Box or reasonably perceived \Box conflict of interest as detailed below:
Attay 11/12/19 Signature Date
MARY-LINE TAMOR
Name

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at

enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday, 11 December 2019
Panel references	PPSSCC-14— Cumberland — DA-171-2019 - 69 and 71 Pegler Ave, South Granville

In relation to these matters, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest 🗹
an actual \Box , potential \Box or reasonably perceived \Box conflict of interest as detailed below:
S. Hetcher 11-12-19
Signature Date
Name

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at

enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.



MEETING DATE	Wednesday, 11 December 2019
Panel references	PPSSCC-14— Cumberland — DA-171-2019 - 69 and 71 Pegler Ave, South Granville

In relation to these matters, I declare that I have:
no known conflict of interest 💆
an actual \square , potential \square or reasonably perceived \square conflict of interest as detailed below:
Signature 11 /12/19.
Name

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at

enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au

¹ An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and

responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

² A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

³ A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.